

DRAFT MINUTES OF THE WALLARAH 2 COMMUNITY LIAISON COMMITTEE MEETING
 Held Thursday 13 December 2007
 25 Bryant Road TUGGERAH

MATTERS	DETAILS	ACTION	TIME LINE
PRESENT	Mr In-Sik KIM Peter SMITH Marg MACDONALD-HILL Peter MURRAY Gen Sec Tom HOLE Mike CAMPBELL Wilma COLBERT Alan HAYES Karen DOUGLAS Warwick O'ROURKE	Wallarrah 2 Wallarrah 2 Mining Related Cncls. CFMEU Mine Subsidence Bd. Jilliby Yarranalong Tuggerah Wyong Shire Cncl Wyong Creek	
ALSO IN ATTENDANCE	Milton MORRIS Rae BLACK Bob PURSER Vikki HOLT	Chairman Minute Secretary Wallarrah 2 Admin – Kores	
APOLOGIES	Julie MOLONEY	NSW Dept Primary Ind	
CHAIRMAN	Declared Meeting open at 3.30 PM		
PREVIOUS MINUTES	Adopted for meeting Monday 29 th October 2007		

BUSINESS ARISING	Additional membership to this Committee	<p>Chairman confirmed his recent discussions which indicated this committee would remain as is for the moment. However, the Chairman would be happy to speak with any residents from Blue Haven who had any questions or concerns.</p>	
PROJECT UPDATE	<p>Peter Smith confirmed Wallarah 2 continues with work involving routine applications, visual and landscaping assessments and social impact assessments as we await outcomes from the Minister's Independent Inquiry.</p> <p>Peter Smith gave an overview and status update of Environmental Monitoring for the W2 Coal Project on what and where the project has mentioned:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meterology/Climate • Dust • Water Quality • Other – Noise, Ecology, Archaeology, Heritage, Groundwater, Geotechnical, Streams etc. 	<p>A copy of the powerpoint presentation was handed to CLC members together with a report on Environmental Monitoring.</p>	
GENERAL BUSINESS	<p>Warwick O'Rourke raised concern regarding two applications for project approval made by W2 which had not previously been mentioned at this committee, being:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To the EPA under Part 3A of the Act 	<p>Peter Smith confirmed that there had been some recent minor changes under the EPA Act which were advised to the Company only in November 2007 which followed the most recent CLC meeting. Peter confirmed it was not</p>	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To Federal authorities – EPBC Act: an application of 62 pages, which appears to be a read-only document unable to be printed off and which has only a 10 day response time for submissions of objection. <p>Alan Hayes added the public become very suspicious when things just pop up before their eyes. We as a committee need to keep them informed to allay any concerns.</p>	<p>an additional Development Application but a resubmission of the previous application to ensure conformance with the recent regulatory changes. The recent advertisement in the local paper was an administrative requirement when new project applications replace the previous application.</p> <p>Peter will investigate why the document is unable to be printed off.</p>	<p>Jan 2008</p>
<p>NEXT MEETING</p> <p>MEETING CLOSED</p>	<p>Tuesday 5th February 2008 3.30 PM</p> <p>4.40 PM</p>		

For CLC meeting 5 February 2008:

Suggested amendment to CLC minutes of meeting on 13 December 2007:

For ACTION Column under GENERAL BUSINESS

Remove existing text and replace with below:

Peter Smith confirmed that there had been some recent minor changes under the EPA Act which were advised to the Company only in November and after the most recent CLC meeting. The recent advertisement in the local paper was a simple administrative requirement associated with cases when a new project application replaces the previous application. Peter confirmed it was not an additional Development Application but essentially a similar application which was resubmitted to make sure the project was in conformance with recent regulatory changes and clearly have the project subject to the State Environmental Planning Policy for Mining (Mining SEPP). These applications reflect administrative requirements for the project and to be up to date with regulatory changes. The website listing under EPBC notifies that we have made the routine application to enquire whether there needs to be formal assessment for parts of the project under relevant Commonwealth planning legislation. If this turns out to be the case we would certainly brief the CLC. Peter will investigate why the document on the EPBC website is unable to be printed off.

Peter Smith (W2CP)
4th January 2008